and military and comment	,	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Nikolas J. Uhlir		1773	
All Participants: Status of Application:					
(1) Nikolas J. Uhlir.		(3)			
(2) Edward Brown.		(4)			
Date of Interview: 27 May 2004		Time: afternoon			
Type of Interview: Telephonic					
If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: all made					
Claims discussed: all pending					
Prior art documents discussed: ding et al.					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
,					
					1
Males & Table					
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/A	pplicant's Representativ	e Sign	ature – if appro	priate)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PT OL-413B (04-03) Exam	niner Initiated I	nterview Summary	_	Paper	No. 06012004

09/749,917

Applicant(s)

CHANG ET AL

Continuation of Substance or Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The ownalline contacted the applicants representative to propose an examiner amendment. Specifically, the removal of raturals from the contraction of raturals from the contraction of the co